arXiv:1606.03677v1 [math.PR] 12 Jun 2016

Large deviation principles for 3D stochastic primitive equations

Zhao Dong[1], Jianliang Zhai[2], Rangrang Zhang[1]

[1] Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, No. 55 Zhongguancun East Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100190, P. R. China

[2] School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, No. 96 Jinzhai Road, Hefei, 230026, P. R. China

(dzhao@amt.ac.cn, zhaijl@ustc.edu.cn, rrzhang@amss.ac.cn)

Abstract

In this paper, we establish the large deviation principle for 3D stochastic primitive equations with small perturbation multiplicative noise. The proof is mainly based on the weak convergence approach.

Keywords: Large deviation principle; Laplace principle; Weak convergence approach

1 Introduction

The main aim of this paper is to establish large deviation principles (LDP) for 3D stochastic primitive equations, which is a fundamental model in meteorology. In the determined case, the primitive equations are derived from the Navier-Stokes equations, with rotation, coupled with thermodynamics and salinity diffusion-transport equations, by assuming two important simplifications: Boussinesq approximation and the hydrostatic balance (see [12, 13, 17]). This model in the determined case has been intensively investigated because of the interests stemmed from physics and mathematics. For example, the mathematical study of the primitive equations originated in a series of articles by J.L. Lions, R. Temam, and S. Wang in the early 1990s [12, 13, 14, 15], where they set up the mathematical framework and showed the global existence of weak solutions. One remarkable result is that C. Cao and E.S. Titi developed a beautiful approach to dealing with the L^6-norm of the fluctuation $\tilde{v}$ of horizontal velocity and obtained the global well-posedness for the 3D viscous primitive equations in [3].

For the primitive equations in random case, many results have been obtained. In [11], B. Guo and D. Huang obtained the existence of universal random attractor of strong solution under the assumptions that the momentum equation is driven by an additive stochastic forcing and the thermodynamical equation is driven by a fixed heat source. A. Debussche, N. Glatt-Holtz, R. Temam and M. Ziane established the global well-posedness of strong solution for the primitive equations driven by multiplicative random

noises in [5]. In [7], the authors obtained the existence of global weak solutions for 3D stochastic primitive equations driven by regular multiplicative noise, and also obtained the exponential mixing property for the weak solutions which are limits of spectral Galerkin approximations. For LDP for stochastic primitive equations, H. Gao and C. Sun obtained a Wentzell-Freidlin type result for the weak solution in [10] if this model is driven by small linear multiplicative noise. Moreover, the authors omit the spatial variable y and only take (x, z) into account in order to obtain the global well-posedness of weak solution.

In this paper, we consider 3D stochastic primitive equations driven by multiplicative random noise supplied with the same boundary conditions as [5] and want to establish LDP for its strong solution. As we know, the large deviation theory is concerned with the study of the precise asymptotic behavior governing the decay rate of probabilities of rare events. A classical area of the large deviation is the Wentzell-Freidlin theory that deals with path probability asymptotic behavior for stochastic dynamical systems with small noise. A weak convergence approach to the theory of LDP is developed by Dupuis and Ellis in [8]. The key idea is to prove some variational representation formula about the Laplace transform of bounded continuous functionals, which will lead to proving an equivalent Laplace principle with LDP. In particular, for Brownian functionals, an elegant variational representation formula has been established by M. Boué, P. Dupuis [1] and A. Budhiraja, Dupuis [2].

The proof of small noise LDP is mainly based on the weak convergence approach. Thanks to the equivalence between LDP and the Laplace principle, we only need to verify the Laplace principle holds. A sufficient conditions for the Laplace principle is introduced in Theorem 4.3 of [1], which has two parts: the determined part and the random part. During the proof, we focus on the determined part since the random part can be transformed to the determined part. Compared with the primitive equations in [10] and 2D geostrophic equations in [16], the difficulty lies in nonlinear terms of our equations is larger since we consider LDP for its strong solution, in that case, H^1 estimates is required. Moreover, we can not directly deal with the process that the random solution minus the determined solution and estimate their terms one by one like [16] because of the complicate H^1 estimates of our equations. Thus, H^1 estimates is the key. Fortunately, C. Cao and E.S. Titi developed a beautiful approach to obtain H^1 estimates in [3], where they consider the fluctuation of horizontal velocity. Based on their work, we obtain the global well-posedness of equation (5.22) by making some additional non-trivial estimates, such as, $|\tilde{v}_h|_{L^{10}(O)}$ estimates and so on. Also, some compact estimates are required. At last, it's worth mentioning that our result is obtained without adding additional regular conditions on the noise, only those in [5] is enough.

This paper is organized as follows. The mathematical formulation for the stochastic primitive equations is in Sects. 2 and 3. Freidlin-Wentzell large deviations and the weak convergence method are introduced in Sect. 4. Then the well-posedness and general a prior estimates for the model are proved in Sect. 5. Finally, a large deviation principle is given in Sect. 6.

2 Preliminaries

Let D be a smooth bounded open domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Set $O = D\times(-1,0)$. Consider the 3D primitive equations of the large-scale ocean on $O\times[0,T]$ driven by a stochastic forcing, in a Cartesian system,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + (v\cdot\nabla)v + \theta\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + fk\times v + \nabla P + L_1 v &= \psi_1(t,v,T)\frac{dW_1}{dt}, && (2.1)\\
\partial_z P + T &= 0, && (2.2)\\
\nabla\cdot v + \partial_z\theta &= 0, && (2.3)\\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + (v\cdot\nabla)T + \theta\frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + L_2 T &= \psi_2(t,v,T)\frac{dW_2}{dt}, && (2.4)
\end{aligned}$$

where the horizontal velocity field $v = (v_1, v_2)$, the three-dimensional velocity field (v_1, v_2, θ), the temperature T and the pressure P are all unknown functionals. f is the Coriolis parameter. k is vertical unit vector. W_1 and W_2 are two independent cylindrical Winner processes which will be given in Sect. 3. $\nabla = (\partial x, \partial y)$, $\Delta = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$. The viscosity and the heat diffusion operators L_1 and L_2 are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 v &= -A_h\Delta v - A_v\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2},\\
L_2 T &= -K_h\Delta T - K_v\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where A_h, A_v are positive molecular viscosities and K_h, K_v are positive conductivity constants. Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$A_h = A_v = K_h = K_v = 1.$$

Then, we supply the same boundary conditions as [5],

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_z v = 0,\ \theta = 0,\ \partial_z T = 0 \qquad & \text{on } D\times\{0\} = \Gamma_u, && (2.5)\\
\partial_z v = 0,\ \theta = 0,\ \partial_z T = 0 \qquad & \text{on } D\times\{-1\} = \Gamma_b, && (2.6)\\
v = 0,\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} = 0 \qquad & \text{on } \partial D\times[-1,0] = \Gamma_l, && (2.7)
\end{aligned}$$

where n is the normal vector to Γ_l.

Integrating (2.3) from -1 to z and using (2.5), (2.6), we have

$$\theta(t,x,y,z) := \Phi(v)(t,x,y,z) = -\int_{-1}^{z}\nabla\cdot v(t,x,y,z')dz', \tag{2.8}$$

moreover,

$$\int_{-1}^{0}\nabla\cdot v dz = 0.$$

Integrating (2.2) from -1 to z, set p_b be a certain unknown function at Γ_b satisfying

$$P(x,y,z,t) = p_b(x,y,t) - \int_{-1}^{z}T(x,y,z',t)dz'.$$

Then, (2.1)-(2.4) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla)v + \Phi(v)\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + fk \times v + \nabla p_b - \int_{-1}^{z} \nabla T dz' + L_1 v = \psi_1(t, v, T)\frac{dW_1}{dt}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla)T + \Phi(v)\frac{\partial T}{\partial z} + L_2 T = \psi_2(t, v, T)\frac{dW_2}{dt}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\int_{-1}^{0} \nabla \cdot v dz = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

The boundary value conditions for (2.9)-(2.11) are given by

$$\partial_z v = 0,\ \partial_z T = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_u, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\partial_z v = 0,\ \partial_z T = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_b, \tag{2.13}$$

$$v = 0,\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_l. \tag{2.14}$$

Denote $Y = (v, T)$ and the initial value conditions are

$$Y(0) = Y_0 = (v_0, T_0). \tag{2.15}$$

3 Formulation of this System

3.1 Some Functional Spaces

Let $\mathcal{L}(K_1; K_2)$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_2(K_1; K_2)$) be the space of bounded (resp. Hilbert-Schmidt) linear operators from the Hilbert space K_1 to K_2, the norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}(K_1;K_2)}(\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(K_1;K_2)})$. Denote by $|\cdot|_{L^p(D)}$ the norm of $L^p(D)$ and $|\cdot|_{H^p(D)}$ the norm of $H^p(D)$ for $p \in \mathbb{N}_+$. In particular, $|\cdot|$ and $(\cdot,\cdot)$ represent the norm and inner product of $L^2(\mathcal{O})$. For the classical Sobolev space $H^m(\mathcal{O})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$\begin{cases} H^m(\mathcal{O}) = \left\{U \in (L^2(\mathcal{O}))^3 \middle| \partial_\alpha U \in L^2(\mathcal{O}) \text{ for } |\alpha| \le m\right\}, \\ |U|^2_{H^m(\mathcal{O})} = \sum_{0\le|\alpha|\le m} |\partial_\alpha U|^2. \end{cases}$$

It's known that $(H^m(\mathcal{O}), |\cdot|_{H^m(\mathcal{O})})$ is a Hilbert space.

Define working spaces for the equations (2.9)-(2.15). Let

$$\mathcal{V}_1 := \left\{ v \in (C^\infty(\mathcal{O}))^2;\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\Big|_{\Gamma_u,\Gamma_b} = 0,\ v\Big|_{\Gamma_l} = 0,\ \int_{-1}^{0} \nabla \cdot v dz = 0 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{V}_2 := \left\{ T \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O});\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}\Big|_{\Gamma_u} = 0,\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}\Big|_{\Gamma_b} = 0,\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\Big|_{\Gamma_l} = 0 \right\},$$

V_1= the closure of $\mathcal{V}_1$ with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_{H^1(\mathcal{O})} \times |\cdot|_{H^1(\mathcal{O})}$,
V_2= the closure of $\mathcal{V}_2$ with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_{H^1(\mathcal{O})}$,
H_1= the closure of $\mathcal{V}_1$ with respect to the norm $|\cdot| \times |\cdot|$,
H_2= the closure of $\mathcal{V}_2$ with respect to the norm $|\cdot|$.

Set

$$V = V_1 \times V_2, \quad H = H_1 \times H_2.$$

The inner products and norms on V, H are given by, for any $Y = (v, T), Y_1 = (v_1, T_1)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(Y, Y_1)_V = (v, v_1)_{V_1} + (T, T_1)_{V_2},\\
&(Y, Y_1) = (v, v_1) + (T, T_1) = (v^{(1)}, (v_1)^{(1)}) + (v^{(2)}, (v_1)^{(2)}) + (T, T_1),\\
&\|Y\|_V = (Y, Y)_V^{\frac{1}{2}} = (v, v)_{V_1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + (T, T)_{V_2}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

3.2 Some Functionals

Define three bilinear operators $a : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$, $a_1 : V_1 \times V_1 \to \mathbb{R}$, $a_2 : V_2 \times V_2 \to \mathbb{R}$, and their corresponding linear operators $A : V \to V^{'}$, $A_1 : V_1 \to V_1^{'}$, $A_2 : V_2 \to V_2^{'}$ as follows, for any $Y = (v, T)$, $Y_1 = (v_1, T_1) \in V$,

$$a(Y, Y_1) := (AY, Y_1) = a_1(v, v_1) + a_2(T, T_1),$$

where

$$a_1(v, v_1) := (A_1 v, v_1) = \int_O \left(\nabla v \cdot \nabla v_1 + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial z} \right) dxdydz,$$

$$a_2(T, T_1) := (A_2 T, T_1) = \int_O \left(\nabla T \cdot \nabla T_1 + \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \frac{\partial T_1}{\partial z} \right) dxdydz.$$

The following lemma follows Lemma 2.4 in [13] readily.

Lemma 3.1. **(i)** *The operators a, a_i $(i = 1, 2)$ are coercive, continuous, and therefore, the operators $A : V \to V'$ and $A_i : V_i \to V_i'$ $(i = 1, 2)$ are isomorphisms. Moreover,*

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(Y, Y_1) &\leq C_1 \|Y\|_V \|Y_1\|_V,\\
a(Y, Y) &\geq C_2 \|Y\|_V^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where C_1 and C_2 are two positive constants and can be determined in concrete conditions.

(ii) *The isomorphism $A : V \to V'$ (respectively $A_i : V_i \to V_i'$ $(i = 1, 2)$) can be extended to a self-adjoint unbounded linear operator on H (respectively on H_i, i=1,2), with compact inverse $A^{-1} : H \to H$ (respectively $A_i^{-1}; H_i \to H_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$).*

It's known that A_1 is a self-adjoint operator with discrete spectrum in H_1. Denote by $\{k_n\}_{n=1,2,\cdots}$ the eigenbasis of A_1 and its associated eigenvalues $\{\nu_n\}_{n=1,2,\cdots}$ is increasing. Similarly, A_2 is a self-adjoint operator with discrete spectrum in H_2. Let $(l_n)_{n=1,2,\cdots}$ be the eigenbasis of A_2 and its associated increasing eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1,2,\cdots}$. It is easy to see that $\overline{e}_{n,0} = \begin{pmatrix} k_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\overline{e}_{0,m} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ l_m \end{pmatrix}$ is the eigenbasis of $(A, D(A))$, and we can rearrange $\{\overline{e}_{n,0}, \overline{e}_{0,m}\}_{n,m=1,2,\cdots}$, denoted by $\{e_n\}_{n=1,2,\cdots}$, such that the associated eigenvalues is an increasing sequence, denoted by $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1,2,\cdots}$.

For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the fractional power $(A^s, D(A^s))$ of the operator $(A, D(A))$ is defined as

$$\begin{cases} D(A^s) = \left\{ Y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n e_n \middle| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^{2s} |y_n|^2 < \infty \right\}; \\ A^s Y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^s y_n e_n, \quad \textit{where } Y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n e_n. \end{cases}$$

Set

$$\|Y\|_s^A = |A^{\frac{s}{2}} Y|, \quad \mathbb{H}_s^A = D(A^{\frac{s}{2}}).$$

It's obvious that $(\mathbb{H}_s^A, \|\cdot\|_s^A)$ is a Hilbert space and $(\mathbb{H}_0^A, \|\cdot\|_0^A) = (H, |\cdot|)$ and $(\mathbb{H}_1^A, \|\cdot\|_1^A) = (V, \|\cdot\|_V)$. For simplicity, denote $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_V$. Thanks to the regularity theory of the stokes operator, $\mathbb{H}_s^A$ is a closed subset of $H^s(O)$ and $\|\cdot\|_s^A$ is equivalent to the usual norm $|\cdot|_{H^s(O)}$ for $s \le 2$. Similarly, we can define $(\mathbb{H}_s^{A_1}, \|\cdot\|_s^{A_1})$ and $(\mathbb{H}_s^{A_2}, \|\cdot\|_s^{A_2})$. For convenience, all of them will be denoted by $(\mathbb{H}_s, \|\cdot\|_s)$.

Now, we define three mappings $b : V \times V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$, $b_i : V_1 \times V_i \times V_i \to \mathbb{R}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ and the associated operators $B : V \times V \to V'$, $B_i : V_1 \times V_i \to V_i'$ $(i = 1, 2)$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} b(Y, Y_1, Y_2) &:= (B(Y, Y_1), Y_2) = b_1(v, v_1, v_2) + b_2(v, T_1, T_2), \\ b_1(v, v_1, v_2) &:= (B_1(v, v_1), v_2) = \int_O \left[(v \cdot \nabla) v_1 + \Phi(v) \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial z} \right] \cdot v_2 dxdydz, \\ b_2(v, T_1, T_2) &:= (B_2(v, T_1), T_2) = \int_O \left[(v \cdot \nabla) T_1 + \Phi(v) \frac{\partial T_1}{\partial z} \right] \cdot T_2 dxdydz, \end{aligned}$$

for any $Y = (v, T)$, $Y_i = (v_i, T_i) \in V$. Then we have

Lemma 3.2. *For any $Y,\ Y_1 \in V$,*

$$(B(Y, Y_1), Y_1) = b(Y, Y_1, Y_1) = b_1(v, v_1, v_1) = b_2(v, T_1, T_1) = 0.$$

Moreover, we define another mapping $g : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ and the associated linear operator $G : V \to V'$ by

$$\begin{aligned} g(Y, Y_1) &:= (G(Y), Y_1) \\ &= \int_O \left[f(k \times v) \cdot v_1 + (\nabla p_b - \int_{-1}^{z} \nabla T dz') \cdot v_1 \right] dxdydz. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.11), we have

$$(v, \nabla p_b) = \left(\int_{-1}^{0} v dz, \nabla p_b \right)_{L^2(D)} = -\left(p_b, \int_{-1}^{0} \nabla \cdot v dz \right)_{L^2(D)} = 0,$$

and by $(v, fk \times v) = 0$, we have

Lemma 3.3. (i)

$$g(Y, Y) = (G(Y), Y) = -\int_O \left[\left(\int_{-1}^{z} \nabla T dz' \right) \cdot v \right] dxdydz.$$

(ii) *There exists a constant C, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |(G(Y), Y)| &\leq C(|T|||v||_V \vee ||T||_V|v|), \quad (3.16)\\ |(G(Y), Y_1)| &\leq C|v||v_1| + C(|T|||v_1||_V \vee ||T||_V|v_1|). \quad (3.17)\end{aligned}$$

Using the functionals defined above, we merge (2.9) and (2.10) as follows

$$\begin{cases} dY(t) + AY(t)dt + B(Y(t), Y(t))dt + G(Y(t))dt = \psi(t, Y(t))dW(t), \\ Y(0) = Y_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} W_1 \\ W_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi(t, Y(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(t, Y(t)) & 0 \\ 0 & \psi_2(t, Y(t)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

3.3 Some Inequalities

Let us recall some interpolation inequalities used later (see Sect. 4.1 in [11]).
For $h \in H^1(D)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |h|_{L^4(D)} &\leq c|h|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(D)}|h|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{H^1(D)},\\ |h|_{L^5(D)} &\leq c|h|^{\frac{3}{5}}_{L^3(D)}|h|^{\frac{2}{5}}_{H^1(D)},\\ |h|_{L^6(D)} &\leq c|h|^{\frac{2}{3}}_{L^4(D)}|h|^{\frac{1}{3}}_{H^1(D)}.\end{aligned}$$

For $h \in H^1(O)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |h|_3 &\leq c|h|^{\frac{1}{2}}|h|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{H^1(O)},\\ |h|_4 &\leq c|h|^{\frac{1}{4}}|h|^{\frac{3}{4}}_{H^1(O)},\\ |h|_6 &\leq c|h|_{H^1(O)},\\ |h|_\infty &\leq c|h|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{H^1(O)}|h|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{H^2(O)}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the similar argument as page 17 in [3] and Proposition 2.2 in [4], we have

Lemma 3.4. *Let u, f, g be smooth functions, then*

(i) $|\int_O g \cdot [(u \cdot \nabla) f]dxdydz| \leq c|\nabla f||g|_3|u|_6 \leq c|\nabla f||g|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla g|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla u|$,

(ii) $|\int_O \Phi(u) f \cdot g dxdydz| \leq c|\nabla u||g|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla g|^{\frac{1}{2}}|f|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla f|^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

(iii) $|\int_O \Phi(u) f \cdot g dxdydz| \leq c|f||\nabla u|^{\frac{1}{2}}||u||^{\frac{1}{2}}_2|\nabla g|^{\frac{1}{2}}|g|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

At last, we recall the integral version of Minkowshy inequality for the L^p spaces, $p \geq 1$. Let $O_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$ and $O_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$ be two Borel measurable subsets, where m_1 and m_2 are two positive integers. Suppose that $f(\xi, \eta)$ is measurable over $O_1 \times O_2$. Then

$$\left[\int_{O_1}\left(\int_{O_2}|f(\xi,\eta)|d\eta\right)^p d\xi\right]^{1/p} \leq \int_{O_2}\left(\int_{O_1}|f(\xi,\eta)|^p d\xi\right)^{1/p} d\eta.$$

3.4 Definition of Strong Solution

For the strong solution of (3.18), we shall fix a single stochastic basis $\mathcal{T} := (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P}, W)$. Here,

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} W_1 \\ W_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a cylindrical Brownian motion with the form $W(t,\omega) = \sum_{i\geq 1} r_i w_i(t,\omega)$, where $\{r_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ is a complete orthonormal basis of a Hilbert space

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ U_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\{w_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ is a sequence of independent one-dimensional standard Brownian motions on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$, U_1 and U_2 are separable Hilbert spaces.

Given any pair of Banach spaces $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$, $Bnd_u(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ stands for the collection of all continuous mappings $\psi : [0,\infty) \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|\psi(t,x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq c(1 + \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}), \quad x \in \mathcal{X},\ t \geq 0,$$

where the numerical constant c may be chosen independent of t. If, in addition,

$$\|\psi(t,x) - \psi(t,y)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq c\|x - y\|_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{X},\ t \geq 0,$$

we say ψ is in $Lip_u(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$.

Hypothesis H0 We assume that $\psi : [0,\infty) \times H \to \mathcal{L}_2(U, H)$ with

$$\psi \in Lip_u(H, \mathcal{L}_2(U; H)) \cap Lip_u(V, \mathcal{L}_2(U; V)) \cap Bnd_u(V, \mathcal{L}_2(U; D(A))).$$

Now, we give the definition of strong solution to (3.18).

Definition 3.1. *[5] Let $\mathcal{T} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P}, W)$ be a fixed stochastic basis and suppose that $Y_0 \in V$. Y is called a strong solution of (3.18) if $Y(\cdot)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_t-$ adapted process in V, such that*

$$Y(\cdot) \in L^2(\Omega; C([0,T]; V)) \bigcap L^2(\Omega; L^2([0,T]; D(A))), \quad \forall T > 0,$$

and for every $t \geq 0$,

$$Y(t) + \int_0^t \Big(AY + B(Y,Y) + G(Y)\Big)ds = Y_0 + \int_0^t \psi(s, Y(s))dW(s),$$

holds in V', $\mathbb{P}-$ a.s.

Theorem 3.1. *[5] Suppose that $Y_0 \in V$. Assume that **Hypothesis H0** holds for ψ. Then there exists a unique global solution Y of (3.18) in the sense of Definition 3.1 with $Y(0) = Y_0$.*

4 Freidlin-Wentzell's Large Deviations

In this section, we consider the large deviation principle for the stochastic primitive. Here, we will use the weak convergence approach introduced by Budhiraja and Dupuis in [2]. Let us first recall some standard definitions and results from large deviation theory (see [6])

Let $\{Y^\varepsilon\}$ be a family random variables defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ taking values in some Polish space $\mathcal{E}$.

Definition 4.1. *(Rate Function) A function $I : \mathcal{E} \to [0, \infty]$ is called a rate function if I is lower semicontinuous. A rate function I is called a good rate function if the level set $\{x \in \mathcal{E} : I(x) \leq M\}$ is compact for each $M < \infty$.*

Definition 4.2. **(i)** *(Large deviation principle) The sequence $\{Y^\varepsilon\}$ is said to satisfy the large deviation principle with rate function I if for each Borel subset A of $\mathcal{E}$*

$$-\inf_{x\in A^o} I(x) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0} \varepsilon \log \mathbb{P}(Y^\varepsilon \in A) \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \varepsilon \log \mathbb{P}(Y^\varepsilon \in A) \leq -\inf_{x\in \bar{A}} I(x),$$

where A^o and $\bar{A}$ denote the interior and closure of A in $\mathcal{E}$, respectively.

(ii) *(Laplace principle) The sequence $\{Y^\varepsilon\}$ is said to satisfy the Laplace principle with rate function I if for each bounded continuous real-valued function f defined on $\mathcal{E}$*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \varepsilon \log E\Big\{ \exp[-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} f(Y^\varepsilon)]\Big\} = -\inf_{x\in\mathcal{E}}\{ f(x) + I(x)\}.$$

It well-known that the large deviation principle and the Laplace principle are equivalent if $\mathcal{E}$ is a Polish space and the rate function is good. The equivalence is essentially a consequence of Varadhan's lemma and Bryc's converse theorem (see [6]).

Suppose $W(t)$ is a cylindrical Wiener process on a Hilbert space U defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}, \mathbb{P})$ (the paths of W take values in $C([0, T]; \mathcal{U})$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is another Hilbert space such that the embedding $U \subset \mathcal{U}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt). Now we define

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\phi : \phi \text{ is a } U\text{-valued } \{\mathcal{F}_t\}\text{-predictable process s.t. } \int_0^T |\phi(s)|_U^2 ds < \infty \text{ a.s.}\};$$
$$T_M = \{h \in L^2([0, T]; U) : \int_0^T |h(s)|_U^2 ds \leq M\};$$
$$\mathcal{A}_M = \{\phi \in \mathcal{A} : \phi(\omega) \in T_M, \ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}\}.$$

Here, we use the weak topology on the set T_M under which T_M is a compact space.

Suppose $\mathcal{G}^\varepsilon : C([0, T]; U) \to \mathcal{E}$ is a measurable map and $Y^\varepsilon = \mathcal{G}^\varepsilon(W)$. Now, we list the following sufficient conditions for the Laplace principle (equivalently, large deviation principle) of Y^ε as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Hypothesis H1 There exists a measurable map $\mathcal{G}^0 : C([0, T]; U) \to \mathcal{E}$ such that the following conditions hold

(i) For every $M < \infty$, let $\{h_\varepsilon : \varepsilon > 0\} \subset \mathcal{A}_M$. If h_ε converges to h as T_M-valued random elements in distribution, then $\mathcal{G}^\varepsilon(W(\cdot) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\int_0^\cdot h_\varepsilon(s)ds)$ converges in distribution to $\mathcal{G}^0(\int_0^\cdot h(s)ds)$.

(ii) For every $M < \infty$, the set $K_M = \{\mathcal{G}^0(\int_0^\cdot h(s)ds) : h \in T_M\}$ is compact subset of $\mathcal{E}$.

Theorem 4.1. *If $\{\mathcal{G}^\varepsilon\}$ satisfies **Hypothesis H1**, then Y^ε satisfies the Laplace principle (hence large deviation principle) on $\mathcal{E}$ with the good rate function I given by*

$$I(f) = \inf_{\{h\in L^2([0,T];U):\, f=\mathcal{G}^0(\int_0^\cdot h(s)ds)\}} \Big\{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T |h(s)|_U^2 ds\Big\}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{E}. \tag{4.19}$$

By convention, $I(f) = \infty$, if $\Big\{h \in L^2([0,T];U) :\ f = \mathcal{G}^0(\int_0^\cdot h(s)ds)\Big\} = \emptyset$.

5 Prior Estimates

Consider the 3D stochastic primitive equations driven by small multiplicative noise

$$\begin{cases} dY^\varepsilon(t) + AY^\varepsilon(t)dt + B(Y^\varepsilon(t), Y^\varepsilon(t))dt + G(Y^\varepsilon(t))dt = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\psi(t, Y^\varepsilon)dW(t), \\ Y^\varepsilon(0) = Y_0, \end{cases} \tag{5.20}$$

where $Y_0 \in V$. Under **Hypothesis H0**, by Theorem 3.1, there exists a pathwise unique strong solution of (5.20) in $\mathfrak{R} := C([0,T];V) \cap L^2([0,T];D(A))$, the norm in $\mathfrak{R}$ is that

$$|Y|_{\mathfrak{R}}^2 := \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|Y(t)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|Y(t)\|_{D(A)}^2 dt.$$

Therefore, there exist Borel-measurable functions

$$\mathcal{G}^\varepsilon : C([0,T];U) \to \mathfrak{R} \text{ such that } Y^\varepsilon(\cdot) = \mathcal{G}^\varepsilon(W(\cdot)). \tag{5.21}$$

Now, the aim is to prove the large deviation principle for Y^ε.

For $h \in L^2([0,T];U)$, we consider the following skeleton equation

$$\begin{cases} dY_h(t) + AY_h(t)dt + B(Y_h(t), Y_h(t))dt + G(Y_h(t))dt = \psi(t, Y_h(t))h(t)dt, \\ Y_h(0) = Y_0. \end{cases} \tag{5.22}$$

Denote by $h = (h_1, h_2)$, we rewrite (5.22) as

$$dv_h + [(v_h\cdot\nabla)v_h + \Phi(v_h)\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial z}]dt + (fk\times v_h + \nabla p_b - \int_{-1}^z \nabla T_h dz')dt + L_1 v_h dt = \psi_1(t, Y_h)h_1(t)dt, \tag{5.23}$$

$$dT_h + [(v_h\cdot\nabla)T_h + \Phi(v_h)\frac{\partial T_h}{\partial z}]dt + L_2 T_h dt = \psi_2(t, Y_h)h_2(t)dt. \tag{5.24}$$

5.1 Global Well-posedness

Theorem 5.1. *Assume **Hypothesis H0** holds and the initial data $Y_0 = (v_0, T_0) \in V$, let $h \in T_M$, then for any $T > 0$, (5.22) has a unique strong solution $Y_h \in C([0,T];V) \bigcap L^2([0,T];D(A))$ on the interval $[0,T]$, which depends continuously on the initial data.*

In order to prove Theorem 5.1, we need to repeat and partial refined some calculations in [3].

5.1.1 A priori estimates in H

Taking the inner product of the equation (5.22) with Y_h in $L^2(\mathcal{O})$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}d|Y_h|^2 + (|\nabla Y_h|^2 + |\partial_z Y_h|^2)dt = -(B(Y_h, Y_h), Y_h)dt - (G(Y_h), Y_h)dt + (\psi(t, Y_h)h, Y_h)dt,$$

by Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3,

$$\frac{1}{2}d|Y_h|^2 + (|\nabla Y_h|^2 + |\partial_z Y_h|^2)dt \leq C|Y_h|\|Y_h\|dt + C|Y_h\|\psi(t, Y_h)h|dt,$$

by Hölder inequality and the Young inequality, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}d|Y_h|^2 + (|\nabla Y_h|^2 + |\partial_z Y_h|^2)dt \leq \varepsilon\|Y_h\|^2dt + C|Y_h|^2dt + C|\psi(t, Y_h)h|^2dt.$$

It follows from **Hypothesis H0** that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t, Y_h)h|^2 &\leq \|\psi(t, Y_h)\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2(U;H)}|h|^2_U \\ &\leq C(1 + |Y_h|^2)|h|^2_U, \end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$

then,

$$d|Y_h|^2 + \|Y_h\|^2dt \leq C(1 + |h|^2_U)|Y_h|^2dt + C|h|^2_Udt.$$

Applying Gronwall inequality, we have

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |Y_h(t)|^2 \leq C_1(|Y_0|^2, M), \tag{5.26}$$

and

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |Y_h(t)|^2 + \int_0^T \|Y_h(t)\|^2dt \leq K_1(|Y_0|^2, M), \tag{5.27}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(|Y_0|^2, M) &= C(1 + M)e^{C(1+M)}(|Y_0|^2 + CM), \\ K_1(|Y_0|^2, M) &= C(1 + M)^2e^{C(1+M)}(|Y_0|^2 + CM). \end{aligned}$$

5.1.2 Splitting

From now on, keeping in mind that we consider the case $\alpha = 0$ and the model is supplied with and boundary conditions (2.12)–(2.14) in [3], let

$$\overline{v}_h(x, y, t) = \int_{-1}^{0} v_h(x, y, z', t)dz', \text{ and the fluctuation } \tilde{v}_h = v_h - \overline{v}_h, \quad h = (h_1, h_2),$$

refer to equation (32) in [3], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{\partial \bar{v}_h}{\partial t} - \Delta \bar{v}_h + (\bar{v}_h \cdot \nabla)\bar{v}_h + \overline{[(\tilde{v}_h \cdot \nabla)\tilde{v}_h + (\nabla \cdot \tilde{v}_h)\tilde{v}_h]} + \nabla p_s(x,y,t) + fk \times \bar{v}_h \\
&-\nabla[\textstyle\int_{-1}^{0}\int_{-1}^{z} T_h(x,y,z',t)dz'dz] = \int_{-1}^{0} \psi_1(t, Y_h(t))h_1(t)dz, \\
&\nabla \cdot \bar{v}_h = 0, \ in\ D, \\
&\bar{v}_h = 0, \ on\ \partial D.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

By subtracting (5.28) from (5.23), $\tilde{v}_h$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{\partial \tilde{v}_h}{\partial t} + L_1\tilde{v}_h + (\tilde{v}_h \cdot \nabla)\tilde{v}_h - (\textstyle\int_{-1}^{z} \nabla \cdot \tilde{v}_h(x,y,z',t)dz')\tfrac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial z} + (\tilde{v}_h \cdot \nabla)\bar{v}_h + (\bar{v}_h \cdot \nabla)\tilde{v}_h + fk \times \tilde{v}_h \\
&-\overline{[(\tilde{v}_h \cdot \nabla)\tilde{v}_h + (\nabla \cdot \tilde{v}_h)\tilde{v}_h]} - \nabla\Big(\textstyle\int_{-1}^{z} T_h(x,y,z',t)dz' - \int_{-1}^{0}\int_{-1}^{z} T_h(x,y,z',t)dz'dz\Big) \\
&= \psi_1(t, Y_h(t))h_1(t) - \textstyle\int_{-1}^{0} \psi_1(t, Y_h(t))h_1(t)dz, \\
&\tfrac{\partial \tilde{v}_h}{\partial z}|_{z=0} = 0, \ \tfrac{\partial \tilde{v}_h}{\partial z}|_{z=-1} = 0, \ \tilde{v}_h \cdot n|_{\Gamma_l} = 0, \ \tilde{v}_h|_{\Gamma_l} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

5.1.3 H^1 estimates

L^6 estimate of $\tilde{v}_h$. Taking the inner product of (5.29) with $|\tilde{v}_h|^4\tilde{v}_h$ in $L^2(O)$. In the same way as Page 10 in [3], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d|\tilde{v}_h|^6}{dt} + 2\int_O (|\tilde{v}_h|^2|\nabla|\tilde{v}_h|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}_h|^4|\nabla\tilde{v}_h|^2)dxdydz + 2\int_O (|\tilde{v}_h|^2|\partial_z|\tilde{v}_h|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}_h|^4|\partial_z\tilde{v}_h|^2)dxdydz \\
\leq\ & C|\bar{v}_h|^2|\nabla\bar{v}_h|^2|\tilde{v}_h|^6 + C|\tilde{v}_h|^6|\nabla\tilde{v}_h|^2 + C|\bar{T}_h|^2|\nabla\bar{T}_h|^2 + C|\tilde{v}_h|^2|\tilde{v}_h|^6 \\
&+\Big|\int_O \Big(\psi_1 h_1(t) - \int_{-1}^{0} \psi_1 h_1(t)dz\Big) \cdot |\tilde{v}_h|^4\tilde{v}_h dxdydz\Big|,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

we only need to estimate the following additional term,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\int_O \Big(\psi_1 h_1(t) - \int_{-1}^{0} \psi_1 h_1(t)dz\Big) \cdot |\tilde{v}_h|^4\tilde{v}_h dxdydz\Big| \\
\leq\ & \Big(\int_O |\psi_1 h_1(t) - \int_{-1}^{0} \psi_1 h_1(t)dz|^2 dxdydz\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int_O |\tilde{v}_h|^{10}dxdydz\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
:=\ & I_1 I_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where Hölder inequality is used.

For the first term I_1, by **Hypothesis H0** and (5.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\leq C|\psi_1(t, Y_h)h_1(t)| \\
&\leq C(1 + |Y_h(t)|)|h_1(t)|_U \\
&\leq C(1 + \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |Y_h(t)|)|h_1(t)|_U \\
&\leq C|h_1(t)|_U.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term I_2, by Sobolev inequality, $|u|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}(O)} \le C\|u\|^{\frac{3}{5}}|u|^{\frac{2}{5}}_{L^2(O)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{v}_h|^{10}_{L^{10}(O)} &= \||\tilde{v}_h|^3|^{\frac{10}{3}}_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}(O)} \\
&\le C\||\tilde{v}_h|^3\|^2\||\tilde{v}_h|^3|^{\frac{4}{3}}_{L^2(O)} \\
&\le C\||\tilde{v}_h|^3|^{\frac{4}{3}}_{L^2(O)}(\||\tilde{v}_h|^3|^2 + |\nabla|\tilde{v}_h|^3|^2 + |\partial_z|\tilde{v}_h|^3|^2) \\
&\le C|\tilde{v}_h|^4_{L^6(O)}\Big[|\tilde{v}_h|^6_{L^6(O)} + \int_O(|\tilde{v}_h|^2|\nabla|\tilde{v}_h|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}_h|^4|\nabla\tilde{v}_h|^2)dxdydz + \int_O(|\tilde{v}_h|^2|\partial_z|\tilde{v}_h|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}_h|^4|\partial_z\tilde{v}_h|^2)dxdydz\Big] \\
&\le C|\tilde{v}_h|^{10}_{L^6(O)} + C|\tilde{v}_h|^4_{L^6(O)}\Big[\int_O(|\tilde{v}_h|^2|\nabla|\tilde{v}_h|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}_h|^4|\nabla\tilde{v}_h|^2)dxdydz + \int_O(|\tilde{v}_h|^2|\partial_z|\tilde{v}_h|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}_h|^4|\partial_z\tilde{v}_h|^2)dxdydz\Big],
\end{aligned}$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\int_O\Big(\psi_1 h_1(t) - \int_{-1}^0 \psi_1 h_1(t)dz\Big)\cdot|\tilde{v}_h|^4\tilde{v}_h dxdydz\Big| \\
\le\ & C|h_1(t)|_U|\tilde{v}_h|^5_{L^6(O)} + C|h_1(t)|_U|\tilde{v}_h|^2_{L^6(O)}\Big[\int_O(|\tilde{v}_h|^2|\nabla|\tilde{v}_h|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}_h|^4|\nabla\tilde{v}_h|^2)dxdydz\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&+C|h_1(t)|_U|\tilde{v}_h|^2_{L^6(O)}\Big[\int_O(|\tilde{v}_h|^2|\partial_z|\tilde{v}_h|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}_h|^4|\partial_z\tilde{v}_h|^2)dxdydz\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
:=\ & I_3 + I_4 + I_5,
\end{aligned}$$

for I_3,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &\le C(1 + |\tilde{v}_h|^6_{L^6(O)})(1 + |h_1(t)|^2_U) \\
&\le C|h_1(t)|^2_U|\tilde{v}_h|^6_{L^6(O)} + C|\tilde{v}_h|^6_{L^6(O)} + C|h_1(t)|^2_U + C,
\end{aligned}$$

for I_4,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &\le \varepsilon\int_O(|\tilde{v}_h|^2|\nabla|\tilde{v}_h|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}_h|^4|\nabla\tilde{v}_h|^2)dxdydz + C|h_1(t)|^2_U|\tilde{v}_h|^4_{L^6(O)} \\
&\le \varepsilon\int_O(|\tilde{v}_h|^2|\nabla|\tilde{v}_h|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}_h|^4|\nabla\tilde{v}_h|^2)dxdydz + C|h_1(t)|^2_U|\tilde{v}_h|^6_{L^6(O)} + C|h_1(t)|^2_U,
\end{aligned}$$

I_5 is similar to I_4,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_5 &\le \varepsilon\int_O(|\tilde{v}_h|^2|\partial_z|\tilde{v}_h|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}_h|^4|\partial_z\tilde{v}_h|^2)dxdydz + C|h_1(t)|^2_U|\tilde{v}_h|^4_{L^6(O)} \\
&\le \varepsilon\int_O(|\tilde{v}_h|^2|\partial_z|\tilde{v}_h|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}_h|^4|\partial_z\tilde{v}_h|^2)dxdydz + C|h_1(t)|^2_U|\tilde{v}_h|^6_{L^6(O)} + C|h_1(t)|^2_U,
\end{aligned}$$

thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\int_O(\psi_1 h_1(t) - \int_{-1}^0 \psi_1 h_1(t)dz)\cdot|\tilde{v}_h|^4\tilde{v}_h dxdydz| \qquad (5.31)\\
\le\ & \varepsilon\int_O(|\tilde{v}_h|^2|\nabla|\tilde{v}_h|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}_h|^4|\nabla\tilde{v}_h|^2)dxdydz + \varepsilon\int_O(|\tilde{v}_h|^2|\partial_z|\tilde{v}_h|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}_h|^4|\partial_z\tilde{v}_h|^2)dxdydz \\
&+C(1 + |h_1(t)|^2_U)|\tilde{v}_h|^6_{L^6(O)} + C(1 + |h_1(t)|^2_U).
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (5.27), (5.30) and (5.31) together, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\tilde{v}_h(t)|^6_{L^6(O)} &+ \int_0^t \Big(\int_O (|\tilde{v}_h|^2 |\nabla|\tilde{v}_h|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}_h|^4 |\nabla \tilde{v}_h|^2) dxdydz \\ &+ \int_O (|\tilde{v}_h|^2 |\partial_z|\tilde{v}_h|^2|^2 + |\tilde{v}_h|^4 |\partial_z \tilde{v}_h|^2) dxdydz \Big) ds \le K_2(t), \end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

where

$$K_2(t) = e^{\Big(C(1+M)K_1^2(t)\Big)} \Big[\|v_0\|^6 + C(1+M) + K_1^2(t) \Big].$$

L^6 estimates of T_h. It's similar to L^6 estimates of $\tilde{v}_h$, we obtain

$$|T_h(t)|^6_{L^6(O)} + \int_0^t \Big(\int_O |T_h|^4 |\nabla T_h|^2 dxdydz + \int_O |T_h|^4 |\frac{\partial T_h}{\partial z}|^2 dxdydz \Big) ds \le K_3(t), \tag{5.33}$$

where

$$K_3(t) = e^{\Big(C(1+M)\Big)} \Big[\|T_0\|^6 + C(1+M) \Big].$$

$|\nabla \bar{v}_h|_{L^2(D)}$ estimates. Taking the inner product of equation (5.28) with $-\Delta \bar{v}_h$ in $L^2(D)$, as Page 12 in [3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d|\nabla \bar{v}_h|^2}{dt} + 2|\Delta \bar{v}_h|^2 \\ \le\ & C|\bar{v}_h|^2 |\nabla \bar{v}_h|^4 + C|\nabla \tilde{v}_h|^2 + C \int_O |\tilde{v}_h|^4 |\nabla \tilde{v}_h|^2 dxdydz + C|\bar{v}_h|^2 + |\int_D \Delta \bar{v}_h \Big(\int_{-1}^0 \psi_1(t, Y_h) h_1(t) dz' \Big) dxdy|, \end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

we only need to estimate the following additional term

$$\begin{aligned} |\int_D \Delta \bar{v}_h \Big(\int_{-1}^0 \psi_1(t, Y_h) h_1(t) dz' \Big) dxdy| &\le C|\Delta \bar{v}_h|_{L^2(D)}| \int_{-1}^0 \psi_1(t, Y_h) h_1(t) dz'|_{L^2(D)} \\ &\le \varepsilon |\Delta \bar{v}_h|^2_{L^2(D)} + C| \int_{-1}^0 \psi_1(t, Y_h) h_1(t) dz'|^2_{L^2(D)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by (5.27) and Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |\int_{-1}^0 \psi_1(t, Y_h) h_1(t) dz'|^2_{L^2(D)} &\le |\psi_1(t, Y_h) h_1(t)|^2_{L^2(O)} \\ &\le C(1 + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |Y_h(t)|^2) |h_1(t)|^2_U \\ &\le C|h_1(t)|^2_U, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\int_D \Delta \bar{v}_h \Big(\int_{-1}^0 \psi_1(t, Y_h) h_1(t) dz' \Big) dxdy \le \varepsilon |\Delta \bar{v}_h|^2_{L^2(D)} + C|h_1(t)|^2_U,$$

thus, we deduce, by (5.27) and (5.34)

$$|\nabla \bar{v}_h(t)|^2 + \int_0^t |\Delta \bar{v}_h|^2 ds \le K_4(t), \tag{5.35}$$

where

$$K_4(t) = e^{K_1^2(t)}\Big[||v_0||^2 + K_1(t) + K_2(t) + CM\Big].$$

$\left|\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial z}\right|^2$ **estimates.** Denote $u = \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial z}$. It's clear that u satisfies

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + L_1 u + (v\cdot\nabla)u + \Phi(v)\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + (u\cdot\nabla)v - (\nabla\cdot v)u + fk\times u - \nabla T = \partial_z(\psi_1(t,Y_h)h_1). \tag{5.36}$$

Taking the inner product of the equation (5.36) with u in $L^2(O)$ and using the boundary condition as Sect. 3.3.2 in Page 13 of [3], we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d|u|^2}{dt} + \frac{3}{2}(|\nabla u|^2 + |\partial_z u|^2) \\ \leq\ & C(|\nabla\bar{v}_h|^4 + |\tilde{v}|_6^4)|u|^2 + C|T|^2 + |\int_O \partial_z(\psi_1(t,Y_h)h_1)u dxdydz|, \end{aligned}$$

we only need to estimate the following term

$$\begin{aligned} \Big|\int_O \partial_z(\psi_1(t,Y_h)h_1)u dxdydz\Big| &= \Big|\int_O \psi_1(t,Y_h)h_1\partial_z u dxdydz\Big| \\ &\leq C|\psi_1(t,Y_h)h_1(t)|_{L^2(O)}|\partial_z u| \\ &\leq \varepsilon|\partial_z u|^2 + C|\psi_1(t,Y_h)h_1(t)|^2_{L^2(O)}. \end{aligned}$$

By **Hypothesis H0** and (5.27) again

$$|\psi_1(t,Y_h)h_1(t)|^2 \leq C|h_1(t)|_U^2, \tag{5.37}$$

thus, similar as equation (75) in [3],

$$\Big|\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial z}\Big|^2 + \int_0^t \Big|\nabla\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial z}\Big|^2 ds + \int_0^t \Big|\frac{\partial^2 v_h}{\partial z^2}\Big|^2 ds \leq K_5(t), \tag{5.38}$$

where

$$K_5(t) = e^{\left(K_4^2(t) + K_3^{\frac{3}{2}}(t)\right)t}\Big[||v_0||^2 + K_1(t) + CM\Big].$$

$|\nabla v_h|^2$ **estimates.** Taking the inner product of the equation (5.23) with $-\Delta v_h$ in $L^2(O)$. As Page 14 in [3], we reach

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d|\nabla v_h|^2}{dt} + \frac{3}{2}(|\Delta v_h|^2 + |\nabla\partial_z v_h|^2) \\ \leq\ & C(|v|_6^4 + |\nabla v_h|^2|\partial_z v_h|^2)|\nabla v_h|^2 + C|\nabla T_h|^2 + |\int_O \psi_1(t,Y_h)h_1(t)\Delta v_h dxdydz|, \end{aligned}$$

we only need to estimate the following additional term

$$\begin{aligned} |\int_O \psi_1(t,Y_h)h_1(t)\Delta v_h dxdydz| &\leq C|\psi_1(t,Y_h)h_1(t)|_{L^2(O)}|\Delta v_h| \\ &\leq \varepsilon|\Delta v_h|^2 + C|\psi_1(t,Y_h)h_1(t)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.37), as equation (77) in [3],

$$|\nabla v_h(t)|^2 + \int_0^t |\Delta v_h(s)|^2 ds + \int_0^t |\nabla \frac{\partial v_h(t)}{\partial z}|^2 dt \le K_6(t), \tag{5.39}$$

where

$$K_6(t) = e^{\left(K_4^{\frac{2}{3}}(t)t + K_1(t)K_5(t)\right)}\Big[||v_0||^2 + K_1(t) + CM\Big].$$

$||T||$ **estimates.** Taking the inner product of the equation (5.24) with $-\Delta T - T_{zz}$ in $L^2(O)$, in the same way as Sect. 3.3.4 in Page 15 of [3], we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d(|\nabla T_h|^2 + |\partial_z T_h|^2)}{dt} + \frac{3}{2}(|\Delta T_h|^2 + |\nabla \partial_z T_h|^2 + |\partial_{zz} T_h|^2) \\ \le\ & C(|v_h|_6^4 + |\nabla v_h|^2 |\Delta v_h|^2)(|\nabla T_h|^2 + |\partial_z T_h|^2) + |\int_O \psi_2(t, Y_h) h_2(t)(\Delta T_h + \partial_z^2 T_h) dxdydz|, \end{aligned}$$

we only need to estimate the following term

$$\begin{aligned} &|\int_O \psi_2(t, Y_h) h_2(t)(\Delta T_h + \partial_z^2 T_h) dxdydz| \\ \le\ & C|\psi_2(t, Y_h) h_2(t)|_{L^2(O)} |\Delta T_h + \partial_z^2 T_h| \\ \le\ & \varepsilon(|\Delta T_h|^2 + |\partial_z^2 T_h|^2 + |\nabla \partial_z T_h|^2) + C|h_2(t)|_U^2, \end{aligned}$$

where **Hypothesis H0** is used. Thus, we obtain

$$|\nabla T_h|^2 + |\partial_z T_h|^2 + \int_0^t \Big(|\Delta T_h|^2 + |\nabla \partial_z T_h|^2 + |\partial_{zz} T_h|^2\Big) dt \le K_7(t), \tag{5.40}$$

where

$$K_7(t) = e^{\left(K_4^2(t)t + K_6^2(t)\right)}\Big[||T_0||^2 + CM\Big].$$

5.1.4 Proof of Theorem 5.1

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 5.1.

Proof of Theorem 5.1 Combining (5.32)-(5.40) and using proof by contradiction, we obtain the global existence of strong solution of (5.22).

In the following, we only need to prove the uniqueness and continuously dependence on the initial data. Let $Y_1 = (v_1, T_1, p_b^1), Y_2 = (v_2, T_2, p_b^2)$ be two strong solutions of (5.22), for convenience, here, we omit the index h. Denote $r = v_1 - v_2, \eta = T_1 - T_2, q_b = p_b^1 - p_b^2$, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dr}{dt} \ &+\ L_1 r + (v_1 \cdot \nabla) r + (r \cdot \nabla) v_2 + \Phi(v_1)\frac{\partial r}{\partial z} + \Phi(r)\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial z} + fk \times r \qquad (5.41) \\ &+\nabla q_b - \int_{-1}^{z} \nabla \eta(x, y, z', t) dz' = \psi_1(t, Y_1(t)) h_1 - \psi_1(t, Y_2(t)) h_1, \\ \frac{d\eta}{dt} \ &+\ L_1 \eta + (v_1 \cdot \nabla)\eta + (r \cdot \nabla) T_2 + \Phi(v_1)\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial z} + \Phi(r)\frac{\partial T_2}{\partial z} \qquad (5.42) \\ &= \psi_2(t, Y_1(t)) h_2(t) - \psi_2(t, Y_2(t)) h_2, \\ &r(x, y, z, 0) = v_0^1 - v_0^2, \qquad (5.43) \\ &\eta(x, y, z, 0) = T_0^1 - T_0^2. \qquad (5.44) \end{aligned}$$

L^2 estimates of r. Taking the inner product of the equation (5.41) with r in $L^2(O)$ as Page 16 in [3], we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d|r|^2}{dt} + \frac{3}{2}(|\nabla r|^2 + |\partial_z r|^2) \\
\leq\ & C|\nabla v_2|^4|r|^2 + C|r|^2|\partial_z v|^2|\nabla v_z|^2 + |\int_O \Big(\psi_1(t, Y_1(t))h_1(t) - \psi_1(t, Y_2(t))h_1(t)\Big) r dxdydz|,
\end{aligned}$$

we only need to estimate the additional term,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\int_O \Big(\psi_1(t, Y_1(t))h_1(t) - \psi_1(t, Y_2(t))h_1(t)\Big) r dxdydz| \\
\leq\ & |\psi_1(t, Y_1(t))h_1(t) - \psi_1(t, Y_2(t))h_1(t)||r| \\
\leq\ & |\psi_1(t, Y_1(t)) - \psi_1(t, Y_2(t))|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U,H)}|h_1(t)|_U|r| \\
\leq\ & |Y_1(t) - Y_2(t)||h_1(t)|_U|r| \\
\leq\ & |h_1(t)|_U|r|^2 + |h_1(t)|_U|r||\eta| \\
\leq\ & C(1 + |h_1(t)|_U^2)|r|^2 + C|h_1(t)|_U^2|\eta|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain L^2 estimate of η. Taking the inner product of the equation (5.42) with η in $L^2(O)$, we reach

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d|\eta|^2}{dt} + \frac{3}{2}(|\nabla \eta|^2 + |\partial_z \eta|^2) \\
\leq\ & C|\nabla T_2|^4|\eta|^2 + C|\eta|^2|\partial_z T_2|^2|\nabla \partial_z T_2|^2 + |\int_O \Big(\psi_1(t, Y_1(t))h_1(t) - \psi_1(t, Y_2(t))h_2(t)\Big) \eta dxdydz|,
\end{aligned}$$

we only need to estimate the additional term,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\int_O \Big(\psi_1(t, Y_1(t))h_2(t) - \psi_1(t, Y_2(t))h_2(t)\Big) \eta dxdydz| \\
\leq\ & |\psi_2(t, Y_1(t))h_2(t) - \psi_2(t, Y_2(t))h_2(t)||\eta| \\
\leq\ & ||\psi_2(t, Y_1(t)) - \psi_2(t, Y_2(t))||_{\mathcal{L}_2(U,H)}|h_2(t)|_U|\eta| \\
\leq\ & |Y_1(t) - Y_2(t)||h_2(t)|_U|\eta| \\
\leq\ & C(1 + |h_2(t)|_U^2)|\eta|^2 + C|h_2(t)|_U^2|r|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

therefore, we have

$$|r(t)|^2 + |\eta(t)|^2 \leq (|r(0)|^2 + |\eta(0)|^2)e^{C\Big(K_6^2 t + K_7^2 t + K_5 K_6 + K_6^2 + C(1+M)\Big)}.$$

The above inequality proves the continuous dependence of the solutions on the initial data, and in particular, when $r(0) = \eta(0) = 0$, we have $r(t) = \eta(t) = 0$, for all $t \geq 0$. Therefore, the strong solution is unique.

■

(5.39) and (5.40) imply

Corollary 5.2. *Let Y_h be the unique strong solution of (5.22) with $h \in T_M$, then*

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T} \|Y_h(t)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|Y_h(t)\|_2^2 dt \le C(T, M, \|Y_0\|).$$

Now, define $\mathcal{G}^0 : C([0,T];U) \to \mathfrak{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{G}^0(\tilde{h}) = \begin{cases} Y_h, & \text{if } \tilde{\mathrm{h}} = \int_0^{\cdot} \mathrm{h(s)ds} \text{ for some } \mathrm{h} \in \mathrm{L}^2([0,\mathrm{T}];\mathrm{U}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5.45}$$

5.2 Compactness of Y_n

Let Y_n be the unique strong solution of (5.22) with $h_n \in T_M$ and $h_n = (h_n^1, h_n^2)$, in this section, we aim to prove $(Y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_+}$ is compact in $L^2([0,T];V)$. Refer to [9], we introduce the following definition and lemma which are needed below.

Given $p > 1, \alpha \in (0,1)$, let $W^{\alpha,p}([0,T];K)$ be the Sobolev space of all $u \in L^p(0,T;K)$ such that

$$\int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{|u(t)-u(s)|_K^p}{|t-s|^{1+\alpha p}} dtds < \infty,$$

endowed with the norm

$$|u|^p_{W^{\alpha,p}(0,T;H)} = \int_0^T |u(t)|_K^p dt + \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{|u(t)-u(s)|_K^p}{|t-s|^{1+\alpha p}} dtds.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let $B_0 \subset B \subset B_1$ be Banach spaces, B_0 and B_1 reflexive, with compact embedding of B_0 in B. Let $p \in (1,\infty)$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$ be given. Let X be the space*

$$X = L^p([0,T];B_0) \cap W^{\alpha,p}([0,T];B_1),$$

endowed with the natural norm. Then the embedding of X in $L^p([0,T];B)$ is compact.

Now, we will apply Lemma 5.1 to obtain compactness of $(Y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_+}$.

Proposition 5.3. *$(Y_n)_{n\ge 0}$ are compact in $L^2([0,T];V)$.*

Proof of Proposition 5.3 From (5.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n(t) &= Y_0 - \int_0^t AY_n(s)ds - \int_0^t B(Y_n(s),Y_n(s))ds - \int_0^t G(Y_n(s))ds + \int_0^t \psi(s,Y_n(s))h_n(s)ds \\ &= J_n^1 + J_n^2(t) + J_n^3(t) + J_n^4(t) + J_n^5(t). \end{aligned}$$

Refer to Sect. 4.2 in [7], we have

$$\begin{aligned} |J_n^1|^2 &\le C_1, \\ |J_n^4|^2_{W^{\alpha,2}(0,T;V')} &\le C\left(\sup_{0\le s\le T}|Y_n(s)|^2\right) \le C_{2,\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in (0,\frac{1}{2}), \\ |J_n^2|^2_{W^{\alpha,2}(0,T;V')} &\le C\int_0^T \|Y_n(s)\|^2 ds \le C_{3,\alpha} \quad \alpha \in (0,\frac{1}{2}), \end{aligned}$$

for suitable positive constants C_1, C_2, C_3. For J_n^3, by Lemma 3.4,

$$\|B(Y, Y_1)\|_{V'} \leq C\|Y\|\|Y_1\|_2,$$

then

$$|B(Y_n, Y_n)|^2_{L^2(0,T;V')} \leq C_4\Big(\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}\|Y_n(s)\|^2\Big)\int_0^T \|Y_n(s)\|_2^2 ds, \tag{5.46}$$

by Corollary 5.2, we obtain

$$|J_n^3|^2_{W^{\alpha,2}(0,T;V')} \leq C_{5,\alpha} \quad \alpha \in (0,1).$$

As to J_n^5, since

$$\begin{aligned} |\int_s^t \psi(u, Y_n(u))h_n(u)du|^2 &\leq \int_s^t |\psi(u, Y_n(u))h_n(u)|^2 du \\ &\leq \int_s^t |h_n(u)|_U^2(1+|Y_n(u)|^2)du \\ &\leq \int_s^t |h_n(u)|_U^2 du + \int_s^t |h_n(u)|_U^2|Y_n(u)|^2 du \\ &\leq \Big(1+\sup_{0\leq u\leq T}|Y_n(u)|^2\Big)\int_s^t |h_n(u)|_U^2 du \\ &\leq C\int_s^t |h_n(u)|_U^2 du, \end{aligned} \tag{5.47}$$

then, by Fubini Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} |J_n^5|^2_{W^{\alpha,2}(0,T;H)} &= |\int_0^t \psi(s, Y_n(s))h_n(s)ds|^2_{W^{\alpha,2}(0,T;H)} \\ &= \int_0^T |\int_0^t \psi(Y_n)h_n(s)ds|^2 dt + \int_0^T\int_0^T \frac{|\int_s^t \psi(u, Y_n(u))h_n(u)du|^2}{|t-s|^{1+2\alpha}}dtds \\ &\leq C_6(\alpha, M), \quad \forall\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}). \end{aligned}$$

Collecting all the previous inequalities we obtain

$$|Y_n|^2_{W^{\alpha,2}([0,T];V')} \leq C_7(\alpha), \quad \forall\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$$

for some constant $C_7(\alpha) > 0$. Recalling Corollary 5.2, we have that Y_n are bounded uniformly in n in the space

$$L^2([0,T]; D(A)) \cap W^{\alpha,2}([0,T]; V'),$$

by Lemma 5.1, Y_n are compact in $L^2([0,T]; V)$. ∎

Corollary 5.4. *There exists a subsequence still denoted by Y_n and $\check{Y} \in L^\infty([0,T]; V) \cap L^2([0,T]; V) \cap L^2([0,T]; D(A))$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n &\to \check{Y} \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty([0,T]; V), \\ Y_n &\to \check{Y} \text{ strongly in } L^2([0,T]; V), \\ Y_n &\rightharpoonup \check{Y} \text{ weakly in } L^2([0,T]; D(A)). \end{aligned}$$

5.3 The Property of $\check{Y}$

Fix a sequence $(h_n)_{n\geq 0}$ such that $h_n \rightharpoonup h$ weakly in T_M, from Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.4, the limit of Y_n exists and we denote it by $\check{Y}$. The following proposition tells us that $\check{Y}$ is the solution of (5.22) with h.

Proposition 5.5. *The above $\check{Y}$ satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} d\check{Y}(t) + A\check{Y}(t)dt + B(\check{Y}(t), \check{Y}(t))dt + G(\check{Y}(t))dt = \psi(t, \check{Y}(t))h(t)dt, \\ \check{Y}(0) = Y_0, \end{cases} \tag{5.48}$$

Before the proof, we firstly give a lemma for the nonlinear term.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $w \in D(A^{\frac{3}{2}})$, $u_\nu \to u$ strongly in $L^2([0,T];V)$ as $\nu \to 0$, then*

$$\int_0^T (B(u_\nu(t), u_\nu(t)), w(t))dt \to \int_0^T (B(u(t), u(t)), w(t))dt, \ \text{as } \nu \to 0.$$

Proof of Lemma 5.2

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big| \int_0^T (B(u_\nu(t), u_\nu(t)), w)dt - \int_0^T (B(u(t), u(t)), w)dt \Big| \\ &\leq \int_0^T |(B(u_\nu, u_\nu - u), w)|dt + \int_0^T |(B(u_\nu - u, u), w)|dt \\ &:= I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned}$$

refer to [18],

$$\|B(Y, Y_1)\|_{-3} \leq C|Y|\|Y_1\|,$$

then, by Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C \int_0^T \|u_\nu\|u_\nu - u\||w|_{D(A^{\frac{3}{2}})}dt \\ &\leq C|w|_{D(A^{\frac{3}{2}})} \int_0^T \|u_\nu\|u_\nu - u|dt \\ &\leq C|w|_{D(A^{\frac{3}{2}})} \Big(\int_0^T \|u_\nu\|^2 dt \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\int_0^T |u_\nu - u|^2 dt \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ I_2 &\leq C \int_0^T \|u_\nu - u\|u\||w|_{D(A^{\frac{3}{2}})}dt \\ &\leq C|w|_{D(A^{\frac{3}{2}})} \int_0^T \|u_\nu - u\|u|dt \\ &\leq C|w|_{D(A^{\frac{3}{2}})} \Big(\int_0^T \|u_\nu - u\|^2 dt \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\int_0^T |u|^2 dt \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $u_\nu \to u$ strongly in $L^2([0,T];V)$, we have $I_1 + I_2 \to 0$.

■

Proof of Proposition 5.5 Denoting an orthonormal basis of $D(A_1^{\frac{3}{2}})$ by $\{w_j^1\}_{j\geq 1}$ and an orthonormal basis of $D(A_2^{\frac{3}{2}})$ by $\{w_j^2\}_{j\geq 1}$, then following Sect. 3, we obtain an orthonormal basis of $D(A^{\frac{3}{2}})$, which is

denoted by $\{w_j\}_{j\geq 1}$. Taking a test function $\phi(t)$ a continuously differentiable on $[0,T]$ satisfying $\phi(T)=0$. From (5.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T(\tfrac{dY_n}{dt},\phi(t)w_j)dt+\int_0^T(AY_n,\phi(t)w_j)dt+\int_0^T(B(Y_n,Y_n),\phi(t)w_j)dt\\ +\int_0^T(G(Y_n),\phi(t)w_j)dt=\int_0^T(\psi(t,Y_n(t))h_n(t),\phi(t)w_j)dt,\end{aligned}$$

by integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}-(Y_0,\phi(0)w_j)-\int_0^T(Y_n(t),\phi'(t)w_j)dt+\int_0^T(Y_n(t),\phi(t)Aw_j)dt+\int_0^T(B(Y_n,Y_n),\phi(t)w_j)dt\\ +\int_0^T(G(Y_n),\phi(t)w_j)dt=\int_0^T(\psi(t,Y_n(t))h_n(t),\phi(t)w_j)dt.\end{aligned}$$

Denote the above equality by symbols that $J_1+J_2+J_3+J_4+J_5=J_6$, in the following, we will estimate these terms one by one.

For J_2+J_3, by Hölder inequality and $Y_n\to\check{Y}$ strongly in $L^2([0,T];V)$, we have

$$J_2+J_3\to-\int_0^T(\check{Y}(t),\phi'(t)w_j)dt+\int_0^T(\check{Y}(t),\phi(t)Aw_j)dt.$$

For J_4, it follows from Lemma 5.2 that

$$J_4\to\int_0^T(B(\check{Y},\check{Y}),\phi(t)w_j)dt,\ n\to\infty.$$

As to J_5, denote $\check{Y}=(\check{v},\check{T})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^T(G(Y_n),\phi(t)w_j)dt-\int_0^T(G(\check{Y}),\phi(t)w_j)dt\\ =\ &\int_0^T\Big(fk\times(v_n-\check{v}),\phi(t)w_j^1\Big)dt+\int_0^T\Big(\int_{-1}^z\nabla(T_n-\check{T})dz',\phi(t)w_j^1\Big)dt\\ =\ &K_1+K_2.\end{aligned}$$

For K_1, by Hölder inequality and Corollary 5.4, we have $K_1\to 0$. For K_2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T\Big(\int_{-1}^z\nabla(T_n-\check{T})dz',\phi(t)w_j^1\Big)dt&=-\int_0^T\Big(\int_{-1}^z(T_n-\check{T})dz',\phi(t)\nabla w_j^1\Big)dt\\ &\leq\int_0^T|T_n-\check{T}||\phi(t)\nabla w_j^1|dt\to 0,\end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$J_5\to\int_0^T(G(\check{Y}),\phi(t)w_j)dt,\ n\to\infty.$$

For J_6,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\int_0^T\Big(\psi(t,Y_n)h_n(t),\phi(t)w_j\Big)dt-\int_0^T\Big(\psi(t,\check{Y}))h(t),\phi(t)w_j\Big)dt\Big|\\ &\quad\leq\Big|\int_0^T\Big((\psi(t,Y_n)-\psi(t,\check{Y}))h_n(t),\phi(t)w_j\Big)dt\Big|+\Big|\int_0^T\Big(\psi(t,\check{Y})(h_n(t)-h(t)),\phi(t)w_j\Big)dt\Big|,\\ &:=K_3+K_4.\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality, for K_3,we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_0^T \Big((\psi(t,Y_n)-\psi(t,\check{Y}))h_n(t),\phi(t)w_j\Big)dt\Big| &\leq \int_0^T |(\psi(t,Y_n)-\psi(t,\check{Y}))h_n(t)||\phi(t)w_j|dt\\ &\leq \int_0^T |\psi(t,Y_n)-\psi(t,\check{Y}))|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U,H)}|h_n(t)|_U|\phi(t)w_j|dt\\ &\leq C\int_0^T |Y_n-\check{Y}||h_n(t)|_U dt\\ &\leq C(\int_0^T |Y_n-\check{Y}|^2dt)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_0^T |h_n(t)|_U^2dt)^{\frac{1}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

since $Y_n \to \check{Y}$ strongly in $L^2([0,T];V)$, we have $K_3 \to 0, n \to \infty$.

$h_n - h \to 0$ weakly in $L^2([0,T];U)$ and Corollary 5.4 imply that $K_4 \to 0$, $n \to \infty$. Thus,

$$J_6 \to \int_0^T \Big(\psi(t,\check{Y}))h(t),\phi(t)w_j\Big)dt,\ n\to\infty.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_0^T(\check{Y}(t),\phi'(t)w_j)dt+\int_0^T(\check{Y}(t),Aw_j\phi(t))dt+\int_0^T(B(\check{Y},\check{Y}),\phi(t)w_j)dt+\int_0^T(G(\check{Y}),\phi(t)w_j)dt \quad (5.49)\\ &\qquad=(Y_0,\phi(0)w_j)+\int_0^T(\psi(t,\check{Y}(t))h(t),\phi(t)w_j)dt.\end{aligned}$$

Since the above equality holds for each j, so (5.49) holds for any ζ, which is a finite linear combination of w_j, that is

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_0^T(\check{Y}(t),\phi'(t)\zeta)dt+\int_0^T(\check{Y}(t),A\phi(t)\zeta)dt+\int_0^T(B(\check{Y},\check{Y}),\phi(t)\zeta)dt+\int_0^T(G(\check{Y}),\phi(t)\zeta)dt \quad (5.50)\\ &\qquad=(Y_0,\phi(0)\zeta)+\int_0^T(\psi(t,\check{Y}(t))h(t),\phi(t)\zeta)dt.\end{aligned}$$

Since $D(A^{\frac{3}{2}})$ is dense in V, we have the following equality holds as an equality in the distribution sense in $L^2([0,T];V')$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\check{Y},\zeta)+(A\check{Y},\zeta)+(B(\check{Y},\check{Y}),\zeta)+(G(\check{Y}),\zeta)=(\psi(t,\check{Y}),\zeta), \quad (5.51)$$

which is exactly (5.48).

Finally, it remains to prove $\check{Y}(0) = Y_0$. For this, multiplying (5.51) with the same $\phi(t)$ as above, integrate with respect to t, and integrate by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_0^T(\check{Y}(t),\phi'(t)\zeta)dt+\int_0^T(\check{Y}(t),A\phi(t)\zeta)dt+\int_0^T(B(\check{Y},\check{Y}),\phi(t)\zeta)dt+\int_0^T(G(\check{Y}),\phi(t)\zeta)dt \quad (5.52)\\ &\qquad=(\check{Y}(0),\phi(0)\zeta)+\int_0^T(\psi(t,\check{Y}(t))h(t),\phi(t)\zeta)dt.\end{aligned}$$

By comparison with (5.50), we see that $(\check{Y}(0)-Y_0,\phi(0)\zeta)=0$ for each $\zeta \in D(A^{\frac{3}{2}})$ and for each function ϕ of the type considered. We can choose ϕ such that $\phi(0) \neq 0$, therefore,

$$(\check{Y}(0)-Y_0,\zeta)=0,\ \forall\zeta\in D(A^{\frac{3}{2}}).$$

As $D(A^{\frac{3}{2}})$ is dense in V, we have that $\check{Y}(0) = Y_0$, which conclude the result. ■

5.4 The Continuity of $\check{Y}$ in V

In this section, we will use the following Lemma 5.3 to obtain Proposition 5.6.

Lemma 5.3. *For V and H are Hilbert spaces (V' is the dual space of V) with $V \subset\subset H = H' \subset V'$, where $V \subset\subset H$ denotes V is compactly embedded in H. If $u \in L^2([0,T];V)$, $\frac{du}{dt} \in L^2([0,T];V')$, then $u \in C([0,T];H)$.*

Proposition 5.6. $\check{Y} \in C([0,T];V)$.

Proof of Proposition 5.6 Following Lemma 5.3, we should firstly prove that $\frac{d\check{Y}}{dt}$ is in $L^2([0,T];V')$. Indeed, in the proof of Proposition 5.5, we know $\check{Y} \in L^2([0,T],D(A)) \cap L^\infty([0,T],V)$ and

$$\frac{d\check{Y}}{dt} = -A\check{Y} - B(\check{Y},\check{Y}) - G(\check{Y}) + \psi(t,\check{Y})h.$$

For $A\check{Y}$, since $\check{Y}$ is bounded in $L^2([0,T];D(A))$ and A is continuous linear operator from $D(A)$ to H, thus, $A\check{Y}$ is bounded in $L^2([0,T];H)$. For $B(\check{Y},\check{Y})$, similar to (5.46), we have

$$\|B(\check{Y},\check{Y})\|_{L^2([0,T];V')} \le C.$$

For $G(\check{Y})$, we have

$$|G(\check{Y})|^2_{L^2([0,T];V')} \le C\left(\sup_{0\le s\le T}|\check{Y}(s)|^2\right) \le C.$$

For $\psi(t,\check{Y})h$, by **Hypothesis H0**, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi(t,\check{Y})h|^2_{L^2([0,T];H)} &= \int_0^T |\psi(t,\check{Y})h|^2 dt \\
&\le \int_0^T \|\psi(t,\check{Y})\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2(U;H)}|h|^2_U dt \\
&\le \int_0^T (1+|\check{Y}|^2)|h|^2_U dt \\
&\le C\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|\check{Y}(t)|^2\int_0^T |h|^2_U dt \le CM.
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting all the above estimates, we get

$$\frac{d\check{Y}}{dt} \in L^2([0,T];V').$$

Recalling Corollary 5.2, $\check{Y} \in L^2([0,T];D(A))$ and applying Lemma 5.3, we conclude the result. ∎

By the uniqueness of (5.22), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.7. *$\check{Y} = Y_h$, where Y_h is the unique strong solution of (5.22) with h.*

Moreover, we have

Theorem 5.8. *$Y_n - \check{Y} \to 0$ in $\mathfrak{R}$ as $n \to \infty$.*

Proof of Theorem 5.8 Denote $Y_n = (v_n, T_n, p_n)$ with $h_n = (h_n^1, h_n^2)$ and $\check{Y} = (\check{v}, \check{T}, \check{p}_b)$ with $h = (h_1, h_2)$. Let $r_n = v_n - \check{v}, \eta_n = T_n - \check{T}, q_n = p_n - \check{p}_b$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dr_n}{dt} &+ A_1 r_n + (v_n \cdot \nabla) r_n + (r_n \cdot \nabla)\check{v} + \Phi(v_n)\frac{\partial r_n}{\partial z} + \Phi(r_n)\frac{\partial \check{v}}{\partial z} + fk \times r_n \qquad (5.53)\\
&+\nabla q_n - \int_{-1}^{z} \nabla \eta_n(x, y, z', t)dz' = \psi_1(t, Y_n(t))h_n^1 - \psi_1(t, \check{Y})h_1,\\
\frac{d\eta_n}{dt} &+ A_2 \eta_n + (v_n \cdot \nabla)\eta_n + (r_n \cdot \nabla)\check{T} + \Phi(v_n)\frac{\partial \eta_n}{\partial z} + \Phi(r_n)\frac{\partial \check{T}}{\partial z} \qquad (5.54)\\
&= \psi_2(t, Y_n)h_n^2 - \psi_2(t, \check{Y})h_2,\\
&r_n(x, y, z, 0) = 0, \qquad (5.55)\\
&\eta_n(x, y, z, 0) = 0. \qquad (5.56)
\end{aligned}$$

H^1 estimate of r_n. Taking the inner product of (5.53) with $A_1 r_n$ in $L^2(O)$, then integrating the time from 0 to t, it reaches

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|r_n(t)\|^2 + 2\int_0^t \|r_n(s)\|_2^2 ds\\
= \;& -2\int_0^t \Big((v_n \cdot \nabla) r_n + \Phi(v_n)\frac{\partial r_n}{\partial z}, A_1 r_n\Big)ds\\
& -2\int_0^t \Big((r_n \cdot \nabla)\check{v} + \Phi(r_n)\frac{\partial \check{v}}{\partial z}, A_1 r_n\Big)ds\\
& -2\int_0^t \Big(fk \times r_n + \nabla q_n - \int_{-1}^{z} \nabla \eta_n(x, y, z', t)dz', A_1 r_n\Big)ds\\
& +2\int_0^t \Big(\psi_1(s, Y_n)h_n^1 - \psi_1(s, \check{Y})h_1, A_1 r_n\Big)ds\\
:= \;& I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder inequality, Lemma 3.4 and Corollary 5.2 to I_1 and I_2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\int_0^t \Big((v_n \cdot \nabla) r_n + \Phi(v_n)\frac{\partial r_n}{\partial z}, A_1 r_n\Big)ds\Big|\\
\le \;& C\int_0^t \|r_n(s)\|_2 |\nabla r_n \|v_n|_\infty ds + C\int_0^t \|r_n(s)\|_2 \|v_n\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v_n\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} |\frac{\partial r_n}{\partial z}|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial z}|^{\frac{1}{2}} ds\\
\le \;& \varepsilon\int_0^t \|r_n(s)\|_2^2 ds + C\int_0^t (1 + \|v_n\|^2)\|v_n\|_2^2 \|r_n\|^2 ds,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\int_0^t \Big((r_n \cdot \nabla)\check{v} + \Phi(r_n)\frac{\partial \check{v}}{\partial z}, A_1 r_n\Big)ds\Big|\\
\le \;& C\int_0^t \|r_n(s)\|_2 \|\check{v}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\check{v}\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|r_n\| ds + C\int_0^t \|r_n(s)\|_2 \|r_n\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|r_n\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} |\frac{\partial \check{v}}{\partial z}|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla \frac{\partial \check{v}}{\partial z}|^{\frac{1}{2}} ds\\
\le \;& \varepsilon\int_0^t \|r_n(s)\|_2^2 ds + C\int_0^t (1 + \|\check{v}\|^2)\|\check{v}\|_2^2 \|r_n\|^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

For I_3,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\int_0^t \Big(fk\times r_n+\nabla q_n-\int_{-1}^{z}\nabla\eta_n(x,y,z',t)dz',A_1r_n\Big)ds\Big|\\
\leq\ & \varepsilon\int_0^t\|r_n(s)\|_2^2ds+C\int_0^t(|r_n|^2+|\nabla\eta_n|^2)ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for I_4,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\Big(\psi_1(s,Y_n)h_n^1-\psi_1(s,\check{Y})h_1,A_1r_n\Big)ds\\
=\ &\int_0^t\Big((\psi_1(s,Y_n)-\psi_1(s,\check{Y}))h_n^1,A_1r_n\Big)ds+\int_0^t\Big(\psi_1(s,\check{Y})(h_n^1-h_1),A_1r_n\Big)ds\\
:=\ & J_1+J_2,
\end{aligned}$$

by Hölder inequality, the Young inequality and **Hypothesis H0**, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &\leq C\int_0^t|A_1r_n\|(\psi_1(s,Y_n)-\psi_1(s,\check{Y}))h_n^1|ds\\
&\leq C\int_0^t|A_1r_n\|\psi_1(s,Y_n)-\psi_1(s,\check{Y})\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U;V)}|h_n^1|_Uds\\
&\leq C\int_0^t|A_1r_n\|Y_n-\check{Y}\||h_n^1|_Uds\\
&\leq C\int_0^t|A_1r_n\|r_n+\eta_n\||h_n^1|_Uds\\
&\leq \varepsilon\int_0^t\|r_n(s)\|_2^2ds+C\int_0^t(\|r_n\|^2+\|\eta_n\|^2)|h_n^1|_U^2ds,
\end{aligned}$$

by **Hypothesis H0** and Corollary 5.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &\leq C\int_0^t\|\psi_1(s,\check{Y})(h_n^1-h_1)\|\|r_n\|ds\\
&\leq C\int_0^t\|\psi_1(s,\check{Y})\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U;V)}|h_n^1-h_1|_U\|r_n\|ds\\
&\leq C\Big(\int_0^t\|r_n\|^2ds\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_0^t\|\psi_1(s,\check{Y})\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2(U;V)}|h_n^1-h_1|_U^2ds\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&\leq C\Big(1+\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|\check{Y}\|\Big)(2M)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_0^t\|r_n\|^2ds\Big)^{\frac12}.
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting all estimates above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|r_n(t)\|^2+\int_0^t\|r_n(s)\|_2^2ds \qquad (5.57)\\
\leq\ & C\int_0^t(1+\|v_n\|^2)\|v_n\|_2^2\|r_n\|^2ds+C\int_0^t(1+\|\check{v}\|^2)\|\check{v}\|_2^2\|r_n\|^2ds\\
&+C\int_0^t(|r_n|^2+|\nabla\eta_n|^2)ds+\int_0^t(\|r_n\|^2+\|\eta_n\|^2)|h_n^1|_U^2ds\\
&+CM^{\frac12}\Big(\int_0^T\|r_n\|^2ds\Big)^{\frac12}.
\end{aligned}$$

H^1 **estimate of** η_n. Similarly to the above, we omit the detail and only give the result,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\eta_n(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\eta_n(s)\|_2^2 ds \\ \le\ & C\int_0^t (1+\|\check{T}\|\|\check{T}\|_2)\|r_n\|^2 ds + C\int_0^t (1+\|v_n\|^2)\|v_n\|_2^2\|\eta_n\|^2 ds \\ &+C\int_0^t (\|r_n\|^2+\|\eta_n\|^2)|h_n^2|_U^2 ds + CM^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int_0^T \|\eta_n\|^2 ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.58}$$

Thus, by (5.57) and (5.58),

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\rho_n(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\rho_n(s)\|_2^2 ds \\ \le\ & C\int_0^t (1+\|\check{v}\|^2)\|\check{v}\|_2^2\|r_n\|^2 ds + C\int_0^t (1+\|\check{T}\|\|\check{T}\|_2)\|r_n\|^2 ds \\ &+C\int_0^t (1+\|v_n\|^2)\|v_n\|_2^2\|\rho_n\|^2 ds + C\int_0^t \|\rho_n\|^2|h_n|_U^2 ds \\ &+CM^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[\Big(\int_0^T \|r_n\|^2 ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Big(\int_0^T \|\eta_n\|^2 ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.59}$$

Applying Gronwall inequality to (5.59),

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|\rho_n(t)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|\rho_n(s)\|_2^2 ds \\ \le\ & CM^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[\Big(\int_0^T \|r_n\|^2 ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Big(\int_0^T \|\eta_n\|^2 ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]\times \\ &\exp\Big\{C\int_0^T \Big[(1+\|\check{v}\|^2)\|\check{v}\|_2^2 + (1+\|\check{T}\|\|\check{T}\|_2) + (1+\|v_n\|^2)\|v_n\|_2^2 + |h_n|_U^2\Big]ds\Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

moreover, Corollary 5.2 and Corollary 5.4 imply

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\Big(\int_0^T \|r_n\|^2 ds + \int_0^T \|\eta_n\|^2 ds\Big) = 0$$

and

$$\exp\Big\{C\int_0^T \Big[(1+\|\check{v}\|^2)\|\check{v}\|_2^2 + (1+\|\check{T}\|\|\check{T}\|_2) + (1+\|v_n\|^2)\|v_n\|_2^2 + |h_n|_U^2\Big]ds\Big\} \le C(T,\|Y_0\|,M),$$

hence, we have

$$|Y_n - \check{Y}|_{\mathfrak{X}}^2 = \sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|\rho_n(t)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|\rho_n(s)\|_2^2 ds \to 0, \quad n\to\infty. \tag{5.60}$$

■

6 Main Result

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that **Hypothesis H0** holds. Then for any $Y_0 \in V$, $\{Y^\varepsilon\}$ satisfies the large deviation principle on $C([0,T],V) \cap L^2([0,T],D(A))$ with a good rate function given by (4.19).*

Proof of Theorem 6.1 To prove the theorem, it suffices to verify the two conditions in **Hypothesis H1** so that Theorem 4.1 is applicable to obtain the large deviation principle for Y^ε.

Step 1 First, we show that the set $K_M = \{\mathcal{G}^0(\int_0^\cdot h(s)ds) : h \in T_M\}$ is compact subset of $\mathfrak{R}$, where $\mathcal{G}^0$ is defined in (5.45).

Let $\{Y_n\}$ be a sequence in K_M where Y_n is the unique strong solution of (5.22) with $h_n \in T_M$. Keep in mind that we use the weak topology on T_M. Hence there exists a subsequence (which we still denote it by $\{h_n\}$) converging to a limit h weakly in T_M. Denote Y_h be the strong solution of (5.22) with h. Corollary 5.7 and Theorem 5.8 establish that $Y_n \to Y_h$ in $\mathfrak{R}$ as $n \to \infty$, which implies that $K_M = \{\mathcal{G}^0(\int_0^\cdot h(s)ds) : h \in T_M\}$ is compact subset of $\mathfrak{R}$.

Step 2 Suppose that $\{h_\varepsilon : \varepsilon > 0\} \subset \mathcal{A}_M$ for any fixed $M < \infty$ and h_ε converge to h as T_M- valued random elements in distribution. Recall (5.21) the definition of $\mathcal{G}^\varepsilon$. Girsanov's theorem establishes that $\bar{Y}_{h_\varepsilon} = \mathcal{G}^\varepsilon(W(\cdot) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\int_0^\cdot h^\varepsilon(s)ds)$ solves the following equation

$$\begin{cases} d\bar{Y}_{h_\varepsilon}(t) + A\bar{Y}_{h_\varepsilon}(t)dt + B(\bar{Y}_{h_\varepsilon}(t), \bar{Y}_{h_\varepsilon}(t))dt + G(\bar{Y}_{h_\varepsilon}(t))dt = \psi(\bar{Y}_{h_\varepsilon})h_\varepsilon dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\psi(\bar{Y}_{h_\varepsilon})dW(t), \\ \bar{Y}_{h_\varepsilon}(0) = y_0. \end{cases} \tag{6.61}$$

By Itô′s formula,

$$\sup_{\epsilon\in(0,1)} \Big(\mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le T} \|\bar{Y}_{h_\varepsilon}(t)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|\bar{Y}_{h_\varepsilon}(t)\|_2^2 dt\Big)\Big) \le C < \infty. \tag{6.62}$$

Introducing an auxiliary process $Z_\varepsilon = (Z_\varepsilon^1, Z_\varepsilon^2)$,

$$\begin{cases} dZ_\varepsilon(t) + AZ_\varepsilon(t)dt = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\psi(t, \bar{Y}_{h_\varepsilon})dW(t), \\ Z_\varepsilon(0) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{6.63}$$

Hypothesis H0 and (6.62) imply that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{0\le t\le T} \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|Z_\varepsilon(t)\|_2^2 dt\Big) = 0. \tag{6.64}$$

Since T_M is a Polish space, by the Skorohod representation theorem, we can construct a stochastic basis $(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathbb{P}^1)$ and, on this basis, $T_M \otimes T_M \otimes C([0,T],V) \cap L^2([0,T],D(A))$-valued random variables processes $(\tilde{h}_\varepsilon, \tilde{h}, \tilde{Z}_\varepsilon)$ such that the joint distribution of $(\tilde{h}_\varepsilon, \tilde{Z}_\varepsilon)$ is the same as that of $(h_\varepsilon, Z_\varepsilon)$, $\tilde{Z}_\varepsilon \to 0$ a.s. in $C([0,T],V) \cap L^2([0,T],D(A))$, the distribution of h coincides with that of $\tilde{h}$ and $\tilde{h}_\varepsilon \to \tilde{h}$ a.s. as T_M- valued random elements. Let $X_{\tilde{h}_\varepsilon}(t)$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} dX_{\tilde{h}_\varepsilon}(t) + AX_{\tilde{h}_\varepsilon}(t)dt + B(X_{\tilde{h}_\varepsilon}(t) + \tilde{Z}_\varepsilon, X_{\tilde{h}_\varepsilon}(t) + \tilde{Z}_\varepsilon)dt + G(X_{\tilde{h}_\varepsilon}(t) + \tilde{Z}_\varepsilon)dt = \psi(t, X_{\tilde{h}_\varepsilon} + \tilde{Z}_\varepsilon)\tilde{h}_\varepsilon dt, \\ X_{\tilde{h}_\varepsilon}(0) = y_0. \end{cases} \tag{6.65}$$

The uniqueness of (6.65) implies that $X_{\tilde{h}_\varepsilon}$ has the same distribution with $\bar{Y}_{h_\varepsilon} - Z_\varepsilon$. Using similar arguments as in Sect. 5, we can prove

$$X_{\tilde{h}_\varepsilon} \to X_{\tilde{h}} \text{ in } \mathfrak{R}, \quad \mathbb{P}^1\text{-a.s.}$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} dX_{\tilde{h}}(t) + AX_{\tilde{h}}(t)dt + B(X_{\tilde{h}}(t), X_{\tilde{h}}(t))dt + G(X_{\tilde{h}}(t))dt = \psi(t, X_{\tilde{h}})\tilde{h}dt, \\ X_{\tilde{h}}(0) = y_0. \end{cases}$$

Recall (5.45) the definition of $\mathcal{G}^0$. Combining $X_{\tilde{h}_\varepsilon}$ has the same distribution with $\bar{Y}_{h_\varepsilon} - Z_\varepsilon$ and (6.64), we obtain **Hypothesis H1** (i). The proof is complete. ■

References

[1] M. Boué, P. Dupuis: *A variational representation for certain functionals of Brownian motion*. Ann. Probab. 26(4) 1641-1659 (1998).

[2] A. Budhiraja; P. Dupuis: *A variational representation for positive functionals of infinite dimensional Brownian motion*. Probab. Math. Statist. 20, 39-61 (2000).

[3] C. Cao, E.S. Titi: *Global well-posedness of the three-dimensional viscous primitive equations of large-scale ocean and atmosphere dynamics*. Ann. of Math. 166, 245-267 (2007).

[4] C. Cao, E.S. Titi: *Global well-posedness and finite-dimensional global attractor for a 3D planetary geostrophic viscous model*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 56, no. 2, 198-233 (2003).

[5] A. Debussche, N. Glatt-Holtz, R. Temam, M. Ziane: *Global existence and regularity for the 3D stochastic primitive equations of the ocean and atmosphere with multiplicative white noise*. Nonlinearity, 25, 2093-2118 (2012).

[6] A. Dembo, O. Zeitouni: *Large deviations techniques and applications*. Jones and Bartlett, Boston, (1993).

[7] Z. Dong, J. Zhai, R. Zhang: *Exponential mixing for 3D stochastic primitive equations of the large scale ocean.* preprint. Available at arXiv: 1506.08514.

[8] P. Dupuis, R.S. Ellis: *A weak convergence approach to the theory of large deviations* . Wiley, New York, 1997.

[9] F. Flandoli, D. Gatarek: *Martingale and stationary solutions for stochastic Navier-Stokes equations*. Probab. Theory Related Fields 102, no. 3, 367-391 (1995).

[10] H. Gao, C. Sun: *Well-posedness and large deviations for the stochastic primitive equations in two space dimensions*. Commun. Math. Sci. Vol.10, No.2, 575-593 (2012).

[11] B. Guo, D. Huang: *3D stochastic primitive equations of the large-scale ocean: global well-posedness and attractors* . Comm. Math. Phys. 286, no. 2, 697-723 (2009).

[12] J.L. Lions, R. Temam, S. Wang: *New formulations of the primitive equations of atmosphere and applications.* Nonlinearity 5, 237-288 (1992).

[13] J.L. Lions, R. Temam, S. Wang: *On the equations of the large scale ocean.* Nonlinearity 5, 1007-1053 (1992).

[14] J.L. Lions, R. Temam, S. Wang: *Models of the coupled atmosphere and ocean.* Computational Mechanics Advance 1, 1-54 (1993).

[15] J.L. Lions, R. Temam, S. Wang: *Mathematical theory for the coupled atmosphere-ocean models.* J. Math. Pures Appl. 74, 105-163 (1995).

[16] W. Liu, M. Röckner, X. Zhu: *Large deviation principles for the stochastic quasi-geostrophic equations*. Stochastic Process. Appl. 123, no. 8, 3299-3327 (2013).

[17] J. Pedlosky: *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.

[18] R. Samelson, R. Temam, S. Wang: *Some mathematical properties of the planetary geostrophic equations for large-scale ocean circulation.* Appl. Anal. 70, no. 1-2, 147-173 (1998).

[19] R. Temam : *Navier-Stokes equations and nonlinear functional analysis*. Second edition. CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, 66. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1995.

[20] M. Ziane: *Regularity results of Stokes type system*. App. Anal.58 (1995), no. 3-4, 263-292.